INFORMATION ON THE PARTY

1. Information on the party

Name of party
Burkina Faso

Date on which its instrument of ratification, accession, approval or acceptance was deposited
10 avril 2017

Date of entry into force of the Convention for the party
August 16, 2017

2. Information on the national focal point

Full name of the institution
Ministry of Ecological Transition and the Environment

Title of National Focal Point
Director of Pollution Prevention and Environmental Risks

Name of National Focal Point
Roger Baro

Mailing address
03 BP 7044 Ouagadougou 03

Telephone number
00226 70 75 52 36

Fax number
{Empty}

E–mail
baro.roger@gmail.com

Second E–mail
sergalain.nebie@gmail.com

Web page
{Empty}
3. Information about the contact officer submitting the reporting format if different from the above

Focal Point is submitting the national report
- Information is submitted by the national focal point
- Information is submitted through the national focal point by the contact officer

▼ ART. 3: MERCURY SUPPLY SOURCES AND TRADE

3.1. Does the party have any primary mercury mines that were operating within its territory at the date of entry into force of the Convention for the party?
- Yes
- No

Additional information on this question if needed
{Empty}

3.2. Does the party have any primary mercury mines that are now in operation that were not in operation at the time of entry into force of the Convention for the party?
- Yes
- No

3.3. Has the party endeavoured to identify individual stocks of mercury or mercury compounds exceeding 50 metric tons and sources of mercury supply generating stocks exceeding 10 metric tons per year that are located within its territory?
- Yes
- No

If the party answered No above, please explain.
Insufficient financial resources

3.4. Does the party have excess mercury available from the decommissioning of chlor-alkali facilities?
- Yes
- No

3.5. *Has the party received consent, or relied on a general notification of consent, in accordance with article 3, including any required certification from importing non-parties, for all exports of mercury from the party’s territory in the reporting period?
3.6. Has the party allowed the import of mercury from a non–party?

☐ No

☐ Yes

☐ The importing party has relied on paragraph 7 of article 3

Part E – Additional comments on the article in free text if the party chooses to do so

There is no official import of mercury into Burkina Faso. However, there is an entry of mercury through fraud, because mercury is used on artisanal mining sites.

ART. 4: MERCURY–ADDED PRODUCTS

4.1. Has the party taken any appropriate measures to not allow the manufacture, import or export of mercury–added products listed in Part I of Annex A of the Convention after the phase–out date specified for those products?

☐ Yes

☐ No

☐ Yes (implementing paragraph 2 of article 4)

If no, has the party registered for an exemption pursuant to article 6?

☐ Yes

☐ No

4.3. Has the party taken two or more measures for the mercury–added products listed in Part II of Annex A in accordance with the provisions set out therein?

☐ Yes

☐ No

4.4. Has the party taken measures to prevent the incorporation into assembled products of mercury–added products whose manufacture, import and export are not allowed under article 4?

☐ Yes
4.5. Has the party discouraged the manufacture and the distribution in commerce of mercury-added products not covered by any known use in accordance with article 4, paragraph 6?

○ Yes
○ No

If yes, please provide information on the measures.

Awareness sessions for the actors involved

Part E – Additional comments on the article in free text if the party chooses to do so

{Empty}

ART. 5: MANUFACTURING PROCESSES IN WHICH MERCURY OR MERCURY COMPOUNDS ARE USED

5.1. Are there facilities within the territory of the party that use mercury or mercury compounds for the processes listed in Annex B of the Minamata Convention in accordance with paragraph 5 of article 5 of the Convention?

○ Yes
○ No
○ I do not know

5.2. Are measures in place to not allow the use of mercury or mercury compounds in manufacturing processes listed in Part I of Annex B after the phase-out date specified in that Annex for the individual process?

CHLOR–ALKALI PRODUCTION

○ Yes
○ No
○ Not applicable (do not have these facilities)

ACETALDEHYDE PRODUCTION IN WHICH MERCURY OR MERCURY COMPOUNDS ARE USED AS A CATALYST

○ Yes
○ No
○ Not applicable (do not have these facilities)
5.3. Are measures in place to restrict the use of mercury or mercury compounds in the processes listed in Part II of Annex B in accordance with the provisions set out therein?

**VINYL CHLORIDE MONOMER PRODUCTION**

- Yes
- No
- Not applicable (do not have these facilities)

**SODIUM OR POTASSIUM METHYLATE OR ETHYLATE**

- Yes
- No
- Not applicable (do not have these facilities)

**PRODUCTION OF POLYURETHANE USING MERCURY–CONTAINING CATALYSTS**

- Yes
- No
- Not applicable (do not have these facilities)

5.4. Is there any use of mercury or mercury compounds in a facility using the manufacturing processes listed in Annex B that did not exist prior to the date of entry into force of the Convention for the party?

- Yes
- No

5.5. Is there any facility that has been developed using any other manufacturing process in which mercury or mercury compounds are intentionally used that did not exist prior to the date of entry into force of the Convention?

- Yes
- No

Part E – Additional comments on the article in free text if the party chooses to do so

{Empty}
ART. 7: ARTISANAL AND SMALL-SCALE GOLD MINING

7.1. Have steps been taken to reduce, and where feasible eliminate, the use of mercury and mercury compounds in, and the emissions and releases to the environment of mercury from, artisanal and small-scale gold mining and processing subject to article 7 within your territory?

☐ Yes
☐ No
☐ There is no artisanal and small-scale gold mining and processing subject to article 7 in which mercury amalgamation is used in the territory.

If yes, please provide information on the steps. National Action Plan (2020–2029) for the reduction or even elimination of mercury in the ASGM sector. Planet GOLD project for a contribution to the elimination of mercury and the improvement of the supply chain of gold produced by ASGM. ASGM project SANU KURA with the implementation of a mercury-free gold extraction technology.

7.2. Has the party determined and notified the secretariat that artisanal and small-scale gold mining and processing within its territory is more than insignificant?

☐ Yes
☐ No

7.3. Has the party developed and implemented a national action plan and submitted it to the secretariat?

☐ Yes
☐ No
☐ In progress

7.4. Attach your most recent review that must be completed under paragraph 3 (c) of article 7, unless it is not yet due

{Empty}

7.5. Supplemental: Has the party cooperated with other countries or relevant intergovernmental organizations or other entities to achieve the objective of this article?

☐ Yes
☐ No

Please provide information
– Experience sharing meetings with the participating countries (Senegal, Mali)
– Collaboration with the United Nations Industrial Development Organization (UNIDO), UNITAR, Artisanal Gold Council (AGC), Alliance for Responsible Mining (ARM), National Agency for the Supervision of Artisanal and Semi-Mechanized Mining Operations (ANEEMAS)
ART. 8: EMISSIONS

8.1. Identify any Annex D source categories for which there are new sources of emissions of mercury or mercury compounds as defined in paragraph 2 (c) of article 8.

For each of those source categories describe the measures in place, including the effectiveness of such measures, to implement the requirements of paragraph 4 of article 8.

- Coal-fired power plants
- Coal-fired industrial boilers
- Smelting and roasting processes used in the production of non-ferrous metals
- Waste incineration facilities

Waste incineration facilities

- Obtaining an authorization from the Ministry in charge of the Environment before any installation;
- Existence of standards for pollutant discharges into the air, water and soil

- Cement clinker production facilities

Has the party required the use of best available techniques or best environmental practices (BAT/BEP) to control and where feasible reduce emissions for new sources no later than 5 years after the date of entry into force of the Convention for the party?

- Yes
- No

Attach relevant documentation

8.2. Identify any Annex D source categories for which there are existing sources of emissions of mercury or mercury compounds as defined in paragraph 2 (e) of article 8.

For each of those source categories, select and provide details on the measures implemented under paragraph 5 of article 8 and explain the progress that these applied measures have achieved in reducing emissions over time in your territory:

- COAL-FIRED POWER PLANTS

  - A quantified goal for controlling and, where feasible, reducing emissions from relevant sources
  - Emission limit values for controlling and, where feasible, reducing emissions from relevant sources
Use of BAT/BEP to control emissions from relevant sources

Multi-pollutant control strategy that would deliver co-benefits for control of mercury emissions

Alternative measures to reduce emissions from relevant sources

Measures
Empty

Progress
Empty

▼ COAL-FIRED INDUSTRIAL BOILERS

A quantified goal for controlling and, where feasible, reducing emissions from relevant sources

Emission limit values for controlling and, where feasible, reducing emissions from relevant sources

Use of BAT/BEP to control emissions from relevant sources

Multi-pollutant control strategy that would deliver co-benefits for control of mercury emissions

Alternative measures to reduce emissions from relevant sources

Measures
Empty

Progress
Empty

▼ SMELTING AND ROASTING PROCESSES USED IN THE PRODUCTION OF NON-FERROUS METALS

A quantified goal for controlling and, where feasible, reducing emissions from relevant sources

Emission limit values for controlling and, where feasible, reducing emissions from relevant sources

Use of BAT/BEP to control emissions from relevant sources

Multi-pollutant control strategy that would deliver co-benefits for control of mercury emissions

Alternative measures to reduce emissions from relevant sources

Measures
Empty

Progress
Empty
WASTE INCINERATION FACILITIES

☐ A quantified goal for controlling and, where feasible, reducing emissions from relevant sources

☑ Emission limit values for controlling and, where feasible, reducing emissions from relevant sources

☐ Use of BAT/BEP to control emissions from relevant sources

☐ Multi-pollutant control strategy that would deliver co-benefits for control of mercury emissions

☐ Alternative measures to reduce emissions from relevant sources

Measures
{Empty}

Progress
{Empty}

CEMENT CLINKER PRODUCTION FACILITIES

☐ A quantified goal for controlling and, where feasible, reducing emissions from relevant sources

☑ Emission limit values for controlling and, where feasible, reducing emissions from relevant sources

☐ Use of BAT/BEP to control emissions from relevant sources

☐ Multi-pollutant control strategy that would deliver co-benefits for control of mercury emissions

☐ Alternative measures to reduce emissions from relevant sources

Measures
{Empty}

Progress
{Empty}

Have the measures for existing sources under paragraph 5 of article 8 been implemented no later than 10 years after the date of entry into force of the Convention for the party?

☐ Yes

☐ No

8.3. Has the party prepared an inventory of emissions from relevant sources within 5 years of entry into force of the Convention for it?

☐ Yes

☐ No
8.4. Has the party chosen to establish criteria to identify relevant sources covered within a source category?

- Yes
- No

8.5. Has the party chosen to prepare a national plan setting out the measures to be taken to control emissions from relevant sources and its expected targets, goals and outcomes?

- Yes
- No

Part E – Additional comments on the article in free text if the party chooses to do so

{Empty}

ART. 9: RELEASES

9.1. Are there, within the party’s territory, relevant sources of releases as defined in paragraph 2 (b) of article 9?

- Yes
- No
- I do not know

9.2. Has the party established an inventory of releases from relevant sources within 5 years of entry into force of the convention for it?

- Yes
- Relevant sources do not exist in the territory
- Have not been a party for 5 years
- No

Part E – Additional comments on the article in free text if the party chooses to do so

{Empty}

ART. 10: ENVIRONMENTALLY SOUND INTERIM STORAGE OF MERCURY, OTHER THAN WASTE MERCURY
10.1. Has the party taken measures to ensure that the interim storage of non-waste mercury and mercury compounds intended for a use allowed to a party under the Convention is undertaken in an environmentally sound manner?

- Yes
- No
- I do not know

Part E – Additional comments on the article in free text if the party chooses to do so

{Empty}

▼ ART. 11: MERCURY WASTES

11.1. Have measures outlined in article 11, paragraph 3, been implemented for the party’s mercury waste?

- Yes
- No

11.2. Are there facilities for final disposal of waste consisting of mercury or mercury compounds in the party’s territory?

- Yes
- No
- I do not know

Part E – Additional comments on the article in free text if the party chooses to do so

{Empty}

▼ ART. 12: CONTAMINATED SITES

12.1. Has the party endeavoured to develop strategies for identifying and assessing sites contaminated by mercury or mercury compounds in its territory?

- Yes
- No

Please elaborate
Lack of financial mechanism
Part E – Additional comments on the article in free text if the party chooses to do so

{Empty}

▼ ART. 13: FINANCIAL RESOURCES AND MECHANISM

13.1. Has the party undertaken to provide, within its capabilities, resources in respect of those national activities that are intended to implement the Convention in accordance with its national policies, priorities, plans and programmes?

☐ Yes
☐ No

Please specify
Creation of a budget line for participation in the COP, information and awareness-raising through radio and television programs and interviews in the written press.

Please provide comments, if any.
{Empty}

13.2. Supplemental: Has the party, within its capabilities, contributed to the mechanism referred to in paragraph 5 of article 13?

☐ Yes
☐ No

Please specify
Difficulty in the effectiveness of the transfer of funds. Budget line defined for this purpose.

Please provide comments, if any.
{Empty}

13.3. Supplemental: Has the party provided financial resources to assist developing-country parties and/or parties with economies in transition in the implementation of the Convention through other bilateral, regional and multilateral sources or channels?

☐ Yes
☐ No

Please specify
developing countries

Please provide comments, if any.
{Empty}

Part E – Additional comments on the article in free text if the party chooses to do so
ART. 14: CAPACITY-BUILDING, TECHNICAL ASSISTANCE AND TECHNOLOGY TRANSFER

14.1. Has the party cooperated to provide capacity-building or technical assistance, pursuant to article 14, to another party to the Convention?

- Yes
- No

Please specify
Developing countries

14.2. Supplemental: Has the party received capacity-building or technical assistance pursuant to article 14?

- Yes
- No

Please specify
Projects funded by the Global Environment Facility (GEF)

Please provide comments, if any.

Part E – Additional comments on the article in free text if the party chooses to do so

{Empty}

ART. 16: HEALTH ASPECTS

16.1. Have measures been taken to provide information to the public on exposure to mercury in accordance with paragraph 1 of article 16?
Supplemental: If yes, describe the measures that have been taken.
- Awareness-raising workshops,
- Public information and health strategies defined in the National Action Plan,
- Radio-television broadcasts
- Development of training curricula for artisanal miners for the processing of mercury-free ores by the Planet GOLD project

16.2. Have any other measures been taken to protect human health in accordance with article 16?

Supplemental: If yes, describe the measures that have been taken.
Inventory of medical devices containing mercury for disposal as part of the project "Eliminate medical devices containing mercury" with UNEP, WHO under GEF funding. The project will be implemented 2022–2026.

Part E – Additional comments on the article in free text if the party chooses to do so
{Empty}

▼ ART. 17: INFORMATION EXCHANGE

17.1. Has the party facilitated the exchange of information referred to in article 17, paragraph 1?

Supplemental: Please provide more information, if any
- Collaboration with UNIDO for the evaluation of funded projects
- Dissemination of the results of the inventory of products containing mercury
- Dissemination of the results of student dissertations on the use of mercury in artisanal gold mining and on mercury-added products

Part E – Additional comments on the article in free text if the party chooses to do so
{Empty}

▼ ART. 18: PUBLIC INFORMATION, AWARENESS AND EDUCATION
18.1. Have measures been taken to promote and facilitate the provision to the public of the kinds of information listed in article 18, paragraph 1?

- Yes
- No

If yes, please indicate the measures that have been taken and the effectiveness of those measures
- Information and awareness-raising workshops for stakeholders
- Publishing and distribution of convention leaflets
- Animation of radio and television programs and publication in newspapers

Part E – Additional comments on the article in free text if the party chooses to do so

{Empty}

19.1. Has the party undertaken any research, development and monitoring in accordance with paragraph 1 of article 19?

- Yes
- No

If yes, please describe these actions
- Inventory of medical devices containing mercury
- Analysis of the environmental and health risks of dental amalgam
- Analysis of the state of health of artisanal mining communities

Part E – Additional comments on the article in free text if the party chooses to do so

Need to facilitate access to funding for diagnostic studies on contaminated sites, diseases related to mercury emissions and releases, for the implementation of projects

COMMENTS

Part C: Comments regarding possible challenges in meeting the objectives of the Convention (Art. 21, para. 1)

- Weakness of national financial mobilization
- Execution of mercury projects by executing agencies that do not master the national context, hence results not achieved
- Difficulty of access to international financing
- Extent of artisanal mining sites in the gold (more than 300), hence the difficulty of conducting studies
Supplemental: Part D: Comments regarding the reporting format and possible improvements, if any

Appropriate form at this stage