**Q1 INFORMATION ON THE PARTY**

Name of the party: Norway

**Q2 Date on which its instrument of ratification, accession, approval or acceptance was deposited**

| Date | 12/05/2017 |

**Q3 Date of entry into force of the Convention for the party**

| Date | 16/08/2017 |

**Q4 INFORMATION ON THE NATIONAL FOCAL POINT**

Name of contact officer: Joel Ormala

Title of contact officer: Senior Advisor

Full name of the institution: Norwegian Environmental Agency

Address: P.O.Box 5672 Torgarden, NO-7485 Trondheim, Norway

City/Town: Trondheim

Country: Norway

Email: joel.ormala@miljodir.no

Phone Number: +47 464 22 499

**Q5 INFORMATION ABOUT THE CONTACT OFFICER SUBMITTING THE REPORTING FORMAT IF DIFFERENT FROM THE ABOVE**

- 

**Q6 DATE THE REPORT WAS SUBMITTED**

| Date | 12/02/2020 |

Page 3: PART B
Q7 1. Does the party have any primary mines that were operating within its territory at the date of entry into force of the Convention for the party? (Para. 3.)

No

Q8 c. If yes, please indicate Total amount mined _______ metric tons per year

- 

Q9 Additional information on this question if needed

- 

Q10 3. Has the party endeavoured to identify individual stocks of mercury or mercury compounds exceeding 50 metric tons and sources of mercury supply generating stocks exceeding 10 metric tons per year that are located within its territory? (Para. 5.)

Yes

Q11 If the party answered Yes to Question 3 above: i. Please attach the results of your endeavour or indicate where it is available on the internet, unless unchanged from a previous reporting round.

Reporting Minamata 2019 - Identification of individual stocks.docx (15.9KB)

Q12 ii. Supplemental: Please provide any related information, for example on the use or disposal of mercury from such stock and sources.

- 

Q13 5. Has the party received consent, or relied on a general notification of consent, in accordance with article 3, including any required certification from importing non-parties, for all exports of mercury from the party's territory in the reporting period? (Para. 6, para. 7.)

No

Q14 If yes, a. and the party has submitted copies of the consent forms to the secretariat, then no further information is needed. If the party has not previously provided such copies, it is recommended that it do so. Otherwise, please provide other suitable information showing that the relevant requirements of paragraph 6 of article 3 have been met. Supplemental: please provide information on the use of the exported mercury.

- 

Q15 Kindly attach all relevant information here

- 

Q16 b. If exports were based on a general notification in accordance with article 3, paragraph 7, please indicate, if available, the total amount exported and any relevant terms or conditions in the general notification related to use.

-
Q17 Kindly attach any relevant information here -

Q18 Part E. As per agreed reporting format, Parties are invited to provide additional comments on this article in free text. Kindly use the box below.

Q19 2. Are there facilities for final disposal of waste consisting of mercury or mercury compounds in the party’s territory? Yes

Q20 If yes, if the information is available, how much waste consisting of mercury or mercury compounds has been subjected to final disposal under the reporting period? Please specify the method of the final disposal operation/operations.

During 2017, 2018 and 2019 a total of 1172 tons of waste consisting of mercury or mercury compounds (as defined by article 11, paragraph 2 (a)) was deposited into specially engineered landfills. The waste type is Mercury Sulfid and it was identified and categorized according to table 1 in the appendix to the decision that lists types and likely sources of category A waste (stabilization of waste mercury for storage and/or disposal). The waste originated from the inorganic chemical process industry falling under the European Waste Classification: 060404.

During the identification and categorization process, we also identified mercury contaminated wastes from industrial pollution control devices, wastewater treatment residues and sludges with potentially high mercury content that were deposited in the same specially engineered landfills, as mentioned above. However, this waste was not categorized as category A waste and they are therefore not included in the reported 1172 tons.

Q21 Kindly attach any additional relevant information here -

Q22 Part E. As per agreed reporting format, Parties are invited to provide additional comments on this article in free text. Kindly use the box below.

Page 4: PART C and D

Q23 Part C. Comments regarding possible challenges in meeting the objectives of the Convention (Art. 21, para. 1)

Q24 Part D. Comments regarding the reporting format and possible improvements, if any

Q25 Additional information to supplement that request may be attached